

COURT-II
IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

IA NO. 143 OF 2018 IN
DFR NO. 94 OF 2018

Dated: 14th March 2018

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N. K. Patil, Judicial Member
Hon'ble Mr. S. D. Dubey, Technical Member

In the matter of:

Mawana Sugar Ltd.

.... Appellant(s)

Versus

Punjab State Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr.

.... Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Ms. Shikha Ohri

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Sakesh Kumar for R-1

Mr. Anand K.Ganesan for R-2

ORDER

IA NO. 143 OF 2018
(Appl. for condonation delay in filing)

The learned counsel, Mr. Sakesh Kumar accepts notice on behalf of the first Respondent and Mr. Anand K. Ganesan, accepts notice on behalf of the second Respondent.

We have heard learned counsel appearing for both the parties. The learned counsel, Ms. Shikha Ohri, appearing for the Appellant submitted that there is a delay of 32 days in filing the main order and 15 days delay in filing the review order which has been explained satisfactorily and sufficient cause has been made out. The same may kindly be accepted and the delay may kindly be condoned.

Submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant as well as the Respondents, as stated above, are place on record.

In the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and going through the reasoning given in application explaining the delay in filing the appeal, we find it satisfactory as sufficient cause has been made out. The same is accepted and the delay in filing the appeal is condoned. **IA No. 143 of 2018** for delay in filing the Appeal is allowed.

DFR NO. 94 OF 2018

Admit. The learned counsel appearing for the first Respondent and the learned counsel appearing for the second Respondent pray for four weeks' time to file their respective replies to the memo of appeal.

The learned counsel appearing for the Appellant prays for two weeks time thereafter, to file her rejoinder to the replies to be filed by the Respondents.

Submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for both the parties, as stated above, are placed on record.

The learned counsel appearing for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 may file their respective replies on or before 12.04.2018. Thereafter, the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant may file her rejoinder submission on or before 01.05.2018 after duly serving copy on the other side.

Registry is directed to number the appeal and list this matter on **02.05.2018** as agreed by the learned counsel appearing for both the parties

(S.D. Dubey)
Technical Member

(Justice N. K. Patil)
Judicial Member

bn/kt